



Challenging the Greenwash

In 1946 George Orwell wrote an essay titled 'Politics and the English Language'. His main point was that:

“

the great enemy of clear language is insincerity. When there is a gap between one's real and one's declared aims, one turns as it were instinctively to long words and exhausted idioms, like a cuttlefish spurting out ink.¹

George Orwell

In this essay Orwell railed against the use of 'euphemism' to defend indefensible political ideologies and 'pretentious' language in the world of art and literary criticism to mask poor performance. Were Orwell alive today one can only assume that he would be horrified by the 'catalogue of swindles and perversions' found in the outpourings from businesses and investment professionals on the fashionable topics of ESG (Environment, Social and Governance), responsible investment and sustainability.

Never knowingly slow to exploit an opportunity for asset accumulation and fee growth, the investment management industry is jumping on the ESG bandwagon. According to McKinsey, ESG-oriented investing has experienced a meteoric rise with global sustainable investment now topping US\$30trillion – up 68% since 2014 and tenfold since 2004.² It varies from country to country but

sustainable investing strategies now represent 26% of all investment assets under professional management in the United States (Global Sustainable Investment Review 2018). This proportion is expected to grow as investors' attitudes have shifted from 'why to why not'³, particularly amongst younger investors.

The UK Financial Conduct Authority has faced mounting pressure since 2018 to take action against accusations of 'greenwashing', making products appear more environmentally friendly than they are, or even miss-selling from the investment industry. One large global investor removed the 'socially responsible' funds category from its platform as it was found that only 4% of the funds explicitly referenced ESG in information documents.

The terms 'ESG' and 'responsible investing' are used often interchangeably. Indeed, for many investors 'ESG', 'responsible', 'impact', 'green', 'ethical' or 'sustainable' are synonyms. Blurred definitions are undoubtedly convenient for the army of sales personnel working on commission, but it doesn't help investors. Rapid growth in enthusiasm and assets has widened 'the gap between real and declared aims'⁴. As usual, the victim is likely to be the well-intentioned but potentially misguided investor.

Our philosophy at Stewart Investors is to invest in 'quality companies' and our process for identifying them has incorporated a rigorous evaluation of ESG for over three decades. However, our analysis of ESG has never stood in isolation, and must be taken together with assessment of management, franchise and financials.

Quality is a nexus⁵ between the sustainability of people, franchise and financials. If one element is not quite right then it will be reflected elsewhere. For example, high quality stewards are unlikely to practise low quality governance, mistreat employees

or take the environment or their license to operate for granted. Nor would they allow themselves and the franchise to be reported by misleading financials and obtuse language.

Stewards have a choice on how they represent and account for their values and actions. We prefer meaningful ESG statements versus short soundbites in the same way we prefer conservative rather than aggressive accounting policies. We aim to evaluate the quality and sincerity of these choices. Take, for example, the many ESG funds invested in tobacco or hydrocarbon companies. Companies in these two sectors may show an improving ESG score and provide attractive press releases but their franchises are harmful to society and the environment, and they are deeply challenged from a sustainability perspective.

A recent ESG statement from British American Tobacco (BAT)⁶ is a case in point. In this document the company boasts of two positive initiatives: 1) Sustainable Agriculture for Rural Communities and 2) Empowerment. Further details include: farmer education workshops (tick), actions against rural poverty (tick), efforts to improve biodiversity (tick), access to water (tick), partnerships with NGOs, charities and local communities (tick, tick, tick). This is topped off with a proud association with UN Sustainable Development Goals 15 and 17 and a thumping charitable contribution of £14.4m⁷, out of a yearly operating cash-flow of £7bn! Not once is it mentioned that their products contribute to the death of seven million people annually⁸ which undermines BAT's 'declared aim', "to be long standing investors in communities."⁹

We are concerned that highly standardised ESG reports promote a box-ticking analysis of ESG credentials or worse yet, an excuse for inaction. That these ESG scores are then often used to construct indexes used by passive funds is a further concern. This is because it can encourage the gaming of ESG reporting without the scrutiny and encouragement provided by engagement from active managers.

In Asia the MSCI China ESG Universal Index is increasingly popular as the basis for exchange traded funds (ETFs). A quick google search shows a well-known Swiss bank charges 65 basis points (bps) for a China ESG ETF, based on the MSCI China ESG Universal Index – plus an extra 10bps for hedging currency risk. This fee is in line with mainstream or non-ESG China ETFs because the

differences are few. Indeed the fund's prospectus states that it 'uses minimal exclusions from the MSCI China Index'.

One reason for this might be revealed in the MSCI methodology paper which states that the corporate response rate to MSCI was only 26%.¹⁰ The paper presented this statistic triumphantly as a 'doubling in responses' from 13% in 2017, but omits the point that 339 Chinese companies were not responding or that MSCI relies on self-published ESG disclosures for 74% of their index constituents. This presumably reduces confidence in the sincerity of their analysis. Accordingly, a China ESG ETF looks similar to a standard China ETF and is heavily weighted to internet companies and state-owned banks. We have discussed our concerns on the governance of China internet companies in previous articles, so now we will focus on the reporting of 'Green Finance' amongst Chinese Banks.

In 2018, in Davos, Xi Jinping pledged to 'bring back blue skies' within three years. The front page of the annual report of China Construction Bank¹¹ (CCB) indicates a willingness for quick compliance. Inside this cover the rhetoric has changed from 'economic growth' to 'ecological civilisation' and the reporting on ESG criteria has improved from a low base.

Ten years ago the annual report had just one page titled 'Corporate Social Responsibility' which reported: "the group fulfilled its social responsibilities and acted as a good corporate citizen...and was fully committed to the rescue efforts, disaster relief work and post disaster reconstruction".

Eight years later the same report extends to five pages with a section dedicated to 'environmental protection'¹² written in a more cheerful tone: "It has vigorously improved the green credit policies and system, developed green credit businesses, strengthened environmental and social risk management, and enriched green credit products and services. At the end of 2017, the balance of green loans was RMB1,002,521 million, up by 12.74%".¹³

Again this progress should be celebrated and it is admirable that China through CCB is making an effort to price environmental risks. However, study of the loan book¹⁴ reveals that reality falls short of published aims. In 2017 the absolute amount of credit extended to environmentally harmful sectors

(power and mining) was 65% higher than the amount in green loans. Moreover, loans to these sectors had grown by 60% over the last seven years.

As the second largest bank in China and with a focus on infrastructure credit, CCB is at the sharp end of development challenges: land requisitioning, local government zone permissions, as well as environmental and economic impact studies.

Historically, these areas have shown a high incidence of corruption and it was only three years ago that Chinese authorities identified irregularities that cost the CEO of CCB his career and freedom. With this in mind, one must approach the ESG report with scepticism and question the sincerity behind published aims such as 'Driving Sustainability'.

At Stewart Investors we believe that sustainability drives investment returns as an indicator of quality,

helping the avoidance of future liabilities or absolute losses from stranded assets.¹⁵ For thirty years we have assessed ESG considerations as studiously as we scrutinise the policies and notes accompanying the financial statements.

This is to determine the difference between a company's 'real and declared aims' and to gauge quality.

Financial history teaches us that "the propensity to swindle grows parallel with the propensity to speculate during a boom."¹⁶ The popularity of ESG is booming currently. As such we grow ever more sceptical of pretty pictures and bland statements. We have a strong preference for sincere and achievable targets. As ESG financial products proliferate, investors must approach fund providers, as we approach companies, with a focus on substance over appearance.

¹ G Orwell (1946) *Politics and the English Language*.

Source: https://www.orwell.ru/library/essays/politics/english/e_polit

² W Henisz, T Koller, R Nuttall (2019) *Five ways that ESG creates Value*, McKinsey Quarterly.

³ S Bernow, B Klempner, C Magnin (2017) *From 'why' to 'why not': Sustainable investing as the new normal*.

⁴ G Orwell (1946) *Politics and the English Language*.

Source: https://www.orwell.ru/library/essays/politics/english/e_polit

⁵ A connection, or a series of connections between two or more things.

⁶ For illustrative purposes only. Reference to the names of each company mentioned in this communication is merely for explaining the investment strategy and our research process, and should not be construed as investment advice or investment recommendation of those companies. Companies mentioned herein may or may not form part of the holdings of Stewart Investors.

⁷ As a disappointing contrast, yearly income at Cancer Research is £634m.

⁸ Source: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco>

⁹ Source: <https://www.bat.com/csi>

¹⁰ MSCI (2019) *China Through An ESG Lens*, P7.

Source: <https://www.msci.com/www/research-paper/china-through-an-esg-lens/01608507056>

¹¹ China Construction Bank (CCB) is the third largest weighting in the MSCI China ESG ETF.

¹² China Construction Bank (2017), Annual Report, P81. Source:

http://www.ccb.com/en/newinvestor/upload/20180817_1534487897/20180817143224827527.pdf

¹³ China Construction Bank(2017), *Annual Report*, P81. Source:

http://www.ccb.com/en/newinvestor/upload/20180817_1534487897/20180817143224827527.pdf

¹⁴ A loan book is the collection of loans made by a financial company.

¹⁵ Stranded assets are assets like oil and gas which might never be taken out the ground if government policies change.

¹⁶ CP Kindleberger, (1978) *Manias, Panics and Crashes: A History of Financial Crises*.

Important information

This document has been prepared for general information purposes only and is intended to provide a summary of the subject matter covered. It does not purport to be comprehensive or to give advice. The views expressed are the views of the writer at the time of issue and may change over time. This is not an offer document, and does not constitute an offer, invitation, investment recommendation or inducement to distribute or purchase securities, shares, units or other interests or to enter into an investment agreement. No person should rely on the content and/or act on the basis of any matter contained in this document.

This document is confidential and must not be copied, reproduced, circulated or transmitted, in whole or in part, and in any form or by any means without our prior written consent. The information contained within this document has been obtained from sources that we believe to be reliable and accurate at the time of issue but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy or completeness of the information. We do not accept any liability for any loss arising whether directly or indirectly from any use of this document.

References to “we” or “us” are references to Stewart Investors. Stewart Investors is a trading name of First State Investments (UK) Limited and First State Investments International Limited. First State Investments entities referred to in this document are part of First Sentier Investors a member of MUFG, a global financial group. First Sentier Investors includes a number of entities in different jurisdictions, operating in Australia as First Sentier Investors and as First State Investments (FSI) elsewhere. MUFG and its subsidiaries do not guarantee the performance of any investment or entity referred to in this document or the repayment of capital. Any investments referred to are not deposits or other liabilities of MUFG or its subsidiaries, and are subject to investment risk including loss of income and capital invested.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results.

Reference to specific securities (if any) is included for the purpose of illustration only and should not be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell. Reference to the names of any company is merely to explain the investment strategy and should not be construed as investment advice or a recommendation to invest in any of those companies.

Hong Kong and Singapore

In Hong Kong, this document is issued by First State Investments (Hong Kong) Limited and has not been reviewed by the Securities & Futures Commission in Hong Kong. In Singapore, this document is issued by First State Investments (Singapore) whose company registration number is 196900420D. Stewart Investors is a business name of First State Investments (Hong Kong) Limited. Stewart Investors (registration number 53310114W) is a business division of First State Investments (Singapore).

Australia

In Australia, this document is issued by First Sentier Investors (Australia) IM Limited AFSL 289017 ABN 89 114 194 311 (FSI AIM). Stewart Investors is a trading name of FSI AIM.

United Kingdom and European Economic Area (“EEA”)

This document is not a financial promotion. In the United Kingdom, this document is issued by First State Investments (UK) Limited which is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (registration number 143359). Registered office: Finsbury Circus House, 15 Finsbury Circus, London, EC2M 7EB, number 2294743.

Outside the UK within the EEA, this document is issued by First State Investments International Limited which is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (registration number 122512). Registered office 23 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, EH2 1BB number SC079063. Stewart Investors is a trading name of First State Investments (UK) Limited and First State Investments International Limited.

Middle East

In certain jurisdictions the distribution of this material may be restricted. The recipient is required to inform themselves about any such restrictions and observe them. By having requested this document and by not deleting this email and attachment, you warrant and represent that you qualify under any applicable financial promotion rules that may be applicable to you to receive and consider this document, failing which you should return and delete this e-mail and all attachments pertaining thereto.

In the Middle East, this material is communicated by First State Investments (Singapore).

Kuwait

If in doubt, you are recommended to consult a party licensed by the Capital Markets Authority (“CMA”) pursuant to Law No. 7/2010 and the Executive Regulations to give you the appropriate advice. Neither this document nor any of the information contained herein is intended to and shall not lead to the conclusion of any contract whatsoever within Kuwait.

UAE - Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC)

Within the DIFC this material is directed solely at Professional Clients as defined by the DFSA’s COB Rulebook.

UAE (ex-DIFC)

By having requested this document and / or by not deleting this email and attachment, you warrant and represent that you qualify under the exemptions contained in Article 2 of the Emirates Securities and Commodities Authority Board Resolution No 37 of 2012, as amended by decision No 13 of 2012 (the “Mutual Fund Regulations”). By receiving this material you acknowledge and confirm that you fall within one or more of the exemptions contained in Article 2 of the Mutual Fund Regulations.

Other jurisdictions

In other jurisdictions where this document may lawfully be issued, this document is issued by First State Investments International Limited which is authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (registration number 122512). Registered office 23 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, EH2 1BB number SC079063.

United States of America

In the United States, this document is issued by First State Investments International Limited, as SEC registered investment adviser. Stewart Investors is the trading name of First State Investments International Limited. This material is solely for the attention of institutional, professional, qualified or sophisticated investors and distributors who qualify as qualified purchasers under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (hereafter the “1940 Act”), as accredited investors under Rule 501 of SEC Regulation D under the US Securities Act of 1933 (“1933 Act”), and as qualified eligible persons as defined under CFTC Regulation 4.7. It is not to be distributed to the general public, private customers or retail investors.

Trade Marks etc.

In Australia, Colonial, Colonial First State, CFS, First State Global Asset Management, FSI GAM, FSI Global and FSI are trademarks of Colonial Holding Company Limited and Colonial First State Investments is a trade mark of Commonwealth Bank of Australia (the Bank) and all of these trademarks are used by First Sentier Investors under licence. In New Zealand, the FSI logo, Colonial and Colonial First State are trademarks of the Bank and are used by First Sentier Investors under licence. In China, Malaysia, Singapore and Vietnam, CMG First State is a trade mark of Colonial Services Pty Ltd and is used by First Sentier Investors under licence. In China, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam, the FSI logo and Colonial First State are trademarks of Colonial Services Pty Ltd and are used by First Sentier Investors under licence. In the UK and Ireland, and the United States of America, the FSI logo, Colonial and Colonial First State are trademarks of Colonial Holding Company Limited and are used by First Sentier Investors under licence.

Contact details

Edinburgh

23 St Andrew Square
Edinburgh EH2 1BB
United Kingdom
t. +44 (0) 131 473 2900

London

Finsbury Circus House
15 Finsbury Circus
London EC2M 7EB
United Kingdom
t. +44 (0) 207 332 6500

Singapore

58 Duxton Road
2nd & 3rd Floor
Singapore 089522
t. +65 680 59670

Sydney

Suite 10, Level 3
13 Hickson Road
Dawes Point
Sydney NSW
Australia 2000
t. +61 2 8274 8000

info@stewartinvestors.com
stewartinvestors.com