Risky asset allocation

How alternatives might fit into a portfolio



For professional/institutional/adviser use only

May 2022

An alternative investment is a financial asset that does not fit into the mainstream equity (corporate stocks), income (debt issued by corporations or governments) or cash (low-risk deposits) investment categories. In this paper, we examine the characteristics of alternatives to see what differentiates them from traditional assets, and importantly, consider how they could be integrated into portfolios for long-term investors.

To synthesise this topic we focus on two crucial questions:

- Are alternative investments uncorrelated, low-beta strategies that dampen portfolio volatility and improve riskadjusted returns¹?
- 2. Why consider alternatives given how well equities and bonds have been performing?

Characteristics of alternative investments

Alternative investments cover a broad spectrum of assets. Generalising, we would say they are characterised by:

- Low correlation, and beta¹, to traditional investments such as equities and bonds. This can be due to non-traditional cash flows and funding profiles.
- Often complex investment structures and risk-return profiles that don't lend themselves to mean-variance analytical modelling¹.
- Typically, high minimum investment requirements and capacity constraints.
- Unique risk profile, including more esoteric assets that ideally require specialist knowledge and understanding before investing.
- Often illiquid or with a limited liquidity profile, reducing the ability to rebalance and manage the portfolio to a strategic asset allocation².
- Information asymmetry exists due to a small number of wellinformed individuals or corporations and information can be limited before being broadly available.
- Trading impediments can exist including high transaction costs, taxes and non-standardised settlement.

Art (collectibles) case study

Collectibles, art in particular, is a relatively small and unregulated market where value is generally correlated with scarcity. Art as an investment is illiquid, lacks transparency and can have significant deviations in supply and demand. Accordingly, valuing art is an imprecise exercise and includes a significant level of subjectivity. Even experts can disagree on the authenticity of some of the most valuable items³ – this is the basis of an interesting 2021 movie 'The Lost Leonardo'.

In addition to the aforementioned issues, storing collectibles can involve meaningful costs in addition to the transaction costs, commissions, auction premiums, expert advice and authentication. Art therefore tends not to be held directly within institutional portfolios, which require a custodian to ensure the safekeeping of assets.

Investors who may benefit from alternative investments

As a result of alternative investments' unique risk-return profiles, liquidity considerations and other complex investment characteristics, they are often most suitable for institutional investors. That said, given the aforementioned characteristics, it is important to consider the investment objectives – particularly the investment time-horizon and liquidity needs.

^{1.} Please refer to the glossary for the definition.

^{2.} Please refer to the appendix for an overview of the benefits and disadvantages of illiquid versus liquid assets.

^{3.} https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-11-16/is-the-450-million-salvator-mundi-leonardo-da-vinci-painting-a-fake

Educational endowments are one investment group that tends to have significant alternative investment allocations. These institutions have long-term investment horizons and lower liquidity requirements. The National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO) shows that large endowments have almost 60% in alternatives, which has remained stable since the late 2000s⁴.

In 2020 the global equity market's capitalisation was US\$106 trillion, while the global bond market was valued at US\$124 trillion; combining for an investable US\$230 trillion⁵. While the value of the alternatives industry set a new high, at US\$10 trillion⁶ it remains small compared to traditional investment markets.

As practitioners, we often consider alternative investments for portfolios

Alternative investments allow us to broaden the investment universe, increase diversification benefits, and thus maximise risk-adjusted returns?. These allocations are often illiquid, however, which changes the portfolio's liquidity structure and may deter open-ended funds? that require daily liquidity. Liquidity risk is one of the most critical, but least quantified, risk dimensions in portfolio construction8.

"In theory, there is no difference between theory and practice, while in practice, there is."

Benjamin Brewster, industrialist and financier - The Yale Literary Magazine

For this reason, we constrain this document to an examination of seven alternative asset categories that are broadly assessable and lend themselves to analytical modelling. These categories are described in the table below and the indices are available in the appendix.

Asset class	Description and considerations
Cryptocurrency	New decentralised, independent, digital currencies – including Bitcoin – with no institution controlling the network, which carries security and regulatory risks. For the purpose of this paper, we will use Bitcoin as a proxy for cryptocurrency to carry out our analysis.
Freight	Difficult to track as an investment and needs to have proxy exposure via listed companies, commodity producers, or commodities.
Timber and forestry	Provides environmental benefits, fuel source and used in construction, and can be accessed through listed companies.
Frontier equities	Frontier markets can have political instability, poor liquidity, inadequate regulation, substandard financial reporting and large currency fluctuations.
Distressed opportunities	Trade at discounts due to difficulties in assessing their value, lack of research coverage, or inability of traditional investors to continue holding them.
Fine wine	Has limited and falling supply and appreciates with age. Due to custody requirements, the investment would be in the listed wine and beverage industry.
Catastrophe bonds	Issued to provide capital capacity in the event of a natural catastrophe, which is difficult to predict and unrelated to economic cycles.

For illustrative purposes only. Reference to the names of each asset mentioned in this communication is merely for explaining the investment strategy and First Sentier Investors does not necessarily maintain positions in such assets. Any asset mentioned in this presentation does not constitute any offer or inducement to enter into any investment activity nor is it a recommendation to purchase or sell any security.

^{4.} https://www.nacubo.org/Research/2021/Historic-Endowment-Study-Data as at 31 January 2022.

^{5.} https://www.sifma.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/CM-Fact-Book-2021-SIFMA.pdf as at 31 January 2022.

^{6.} https://docs.preqin.com/reports/Preqin-Alternatives-in-2020-Report.pdf as at 31 January 2022.

^{7.} Please refer to glossary for the definition.

^{8.} As Edwin Schooling Latter of the FCA stated "Where an open-ended fund offers daily redemptions, but a significant proportion of the assets in which the fund invests cannot be liquidated within a day without material loss of value, there is an asset-liability mismatch." And "This asset-liability mismatch in the fund's structure, and the first mover incentive, introduces a source of instability in the fund." https://www.fca.org.uk/news/speeches/open-ended-funds-investing-less-liquid-assets

Historical return characteristics

The table below presents the historical returns, volatilities and correlation coefficients for several asset classes based on monthly returns since January 2013.

				,					Co	rrelatio	ns						
Asset classes	Historical return	Historical volatility	US Equities	World (ex US) Equities	MSCI World Small Cap	Emerging Markets Equities	Global Bonds	USTIPS	US High Yield	USD Cash	Bitcoin	Freight	Timber and Forestry	Frontier Equities	Distressed opportunities	Wine	Catastrophe Bonds
US Equities	16.1%	15.6%	1.00														
World (ex US) Equities	7.4%	14.9%	0.86	1.00													
MSCI World Small Cap	12.0%	17.8%	0.92	0.89	1.00												
Emerging Markets Equities	5.5%	16.9%	0.69	0.80	0.74	1.00											
Global Bonds	3.2%	2.9%	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.14	1.00										
US TIPS	2.6%	4.4%	0.24	0.29	0.23	0.35	0.79	1.00									
US High Yield	5.4%	7.2%	0.74	0.78	0.82	0.72	0.29	0.41	1.00								
USD Cash	0.9%	0.3%	-0.08	-0.08	-0.11	-0.02	0.16	0.09	-0.03	1.00							
Bitcoin	341.7%	1305.0%	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.07	-0.05	1.00						
Freight	67.1%	178.2%	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.11	-0.08	0.01	0.07	-0.04	0.00	1.00					
Timber and Forestry	8.8%	20.9%	0.80	0.80	0.86	0.70	0.03	0.24	0.69	-0.12	0.04	0.01	1.00				
Frontier Equities	6.9%	16.9%	0.66	0.74	0.72	0.69	0.07	0.19	0.72	-0.10	0.09	0.07	0.57	1.00			
Distressed opportunities	4.7%	5.9%	0.66	0.73	0.76	0.57	-0.04	0.09	0.72	-0.18	0.12	0.06	0.58	0.67	1.00		
Wine	2.8%	4.7%	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.03	0.15	0.26	-0.12	-0.06	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.12	1.00	
Catastrophe Bonds	5.0%	3.1%	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.10	0.24	-0.13	0.04	0.00	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.15	1.00

Source: First Sentier Investors, Bloomberg, Datastream. To be consistent across the historical data, we restrict data to the shortest history of the alternative assets, being Bitcoin, which is from 31 January 2013 to 31 January 2022.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance.

Over this period, freight and Bitcoin have had significantly higher returns than traditional assets, albeit with exceptionally high volatility. While these assets tend to have low correlations to traditional assets, the significant volatility means that they would only be appropriate as a small allocation within a portfolio.

Also noteworthy, fine wine typically has a low correlation with traditional assets. Although when compared with global bonds, it has higher volatility and a lower return. This underlines the benefit of global bonds as a particularly useful diversifier for

equity risk due to its low correlation, moderate return and low volatility.

To extend the picture for alternatives, we can examine their betas with traditional asset classes, such as US equities. Beta is a useful measure, as it explains the sensitivity to systematic market risks. The significant Bitcoin beta shows that it is strongly influenced by US equity risk, but the low correlation indicates that idiosyncratic factors are driving the returns.

The beta of alternative asset classes with US Equities9

Asset class	Beta
Bitcoin	7.74
Freight	0.72
Timber and Forestry	1.07
Frontier Equities	0.72
Distressed opportunities	0.25
Wine	0.02
Catastrophe Bonds	0.03

Source: First Sentier Investors, Bloomberg, Datastream as at 31 January 2022

The table also illustrates that timber and forestry, and frontier equities, which have betas closer to one and high correlations with equities, are largely driven by systematic risks and would be expected to deliver similar performance outcomes to US equities. They do not appear to be uncorrelated, low-beta strategies that can dampen portfolio volatility and improve risk-adjusted returns.

Expected asset class characteristics

To determine the expected asset class characteristics, we derive long-term statistical characteristics for the asset categories and the economic environment as a whole¹⁰. This modelling of expected characteristics lends itself more to traditional assets due to their economic linkages, whilst the modelling of alternative assets is less certain and contains a larger margin of error. Nonetheless, we use the same analytical tools and processes to determine their portfolio applicability.

To derive the expected returns for alternative assets we utilise the expected returns for traditional assets, such as US equities and global bonds, in combination with their historical characteristics¹¹. The expected returns for alternative assets are modelled through the historical beta with a traditional asset. This assumes that idiosyncratic factors will persist going forward and provides a framework to capture changes in market risk premiums using asset categories that we have a greater capacity to model.

We note that historical returns and volatilities for freight and Bitcoin are based on spot indices that cannot be implemented. Since the introduction of Bitcoin futures in December 2017, the pricing difference and roll costs have meant investors using the futures to gain exposure received a return 43% lower than the spot index¹². This is common in markets that experience contango¹³. As such we need to be cautious when looking at historical characteristics, and apply realistic reductions to ensure that the return capture is realistic¹⁴.

Asset classes	Expected return	Expected volatility	Historical return	Historical volatility
US Equities	4.8%	15.6%	16.1%	15.6%
World (ex US) Equities	4.5%	14.9%	7.4%	14.9%
MSCI World Small Cap	5.0%	17.8%	12.0%	17.8%
Emerging Markets Equities	4.0%	16.9%	5.5%	16.9%
Global Bonds	0.9%	2.9%	3.2%	2.9%
USTIPS	1.0%	4.4%	2.6%	4.4%
US High Yield	2.5%	7.2%	5.4%	7.2%
USD Cash	0.7%	0.3%	0.9%	0.3%
Bitcoin	152.8%	1305.0%	341.7%	1305.0%
Freight	39.3%	178.2%	67.1%	178.2%
Timber and Forestry	1.8%	20.9%	8.8%	20.9%
Frontier Equities	4.5%	16.9%	6.9%	16.9%
Distressed opportunities	2.9%	5.9%	4.7%	5.9%
Wine	2.3%	4.7%	2.8%	4.7%
Catastrophe Bonds	4.7%	3.1%	5.0%	3.1%

Source: First Sentier Investors, Bloomberg, Datastream, Internal Proprietary Models. Past performance is not indicative of future performance.

^{9.} The historical beta for an alternative asset a (a=1,...,n) and traditional asset t (t=1,...,n) is $\beta_{at} = \rho_{at}$ (σ_{a}/σ_{b}) where ρ_{at} is the correlation of the two returns, and σ_{a} and σ_{a} are the respective volatilities.

^{10.} For further information on the Long-Term Asset Return Model see First Sentier Investors. (2013). Strategic Asset Allocation.

^{11.} We use a one-factor regression: $\mu_a^c = \mu_a^b + \beta_{a,t}^c (\mu_t^a - \mu_t^b)$ where subscript a denotes an alternative asset (a=1,...,n), t for a traditional asset (t=1,...,n) while the superscript a denotes expected returns and a for historical returns. The traditional asset a is determined based on having the highest historical correlation with alternative asset a.

^{12.} Bitcoin futures were introduced in December 2017. The Bitcoin futures return is calculated using the Bloomberg futures roll methodology at expiry to minimise the amount of contango in the futures curve, thus maximising the return.

^{13.} Longer-dated futures or forwards have higher prices than the spot index.

^{14.} A reduction in the return of 40% is applied to the spot index for Bitcoin and freight to account for implementation slippage.

Portfolio optimisation and implied investment returns

We can also examine how alternatives would fit into an efficient mean-variance portfolio¹⁵ based on having a 60% allocation to growth assets. The portfolio included allocations to Bitcoin, freight, fine wine and catastrophe bonds, all of which have low correlations with equity market risk. The table also displays the corresponding risk weights, which shows each asset class' contribution to the portfolio volatility.

Risk weight distribution – 60% Growth								
Asset classes	Risk weights	Asset weights						
US Equities	30.7%	34.4%						
World (ex US) Equities	16.9%	20.6%						
MSCI World Small Cap	0.0%	0.0%						
Emerging Markets Equities	0.0%	0.0%						
Global Bonds	0.2%	9.9%						
US TIPS	0.0%	0.0%						
US High Yield	7.3%	20.1%						
USD Cash	0.0%	0.0%						
Bitcoin	9.9%	0.3%						
Freight	34.6%	4.2%						
Timber and Forestry	0.0%	0.0%						
Frontier Equities	0.0%	0.0%						
Distressed opportunities	0.0%	0.0%						
Wine	0.0%	0.5%						
Catastrophe Bonds	0.4%	10.0%						
Implied Correlation: 0.17								

Source: First Sentier Investors, Internal Proprietary Models as at 31 January 2022.

We can also take a reverse look at the asset allocation and determine the implied investment returns. With some simplifying assumptions¹⁶ we can determine what investors require in expected returns to hold a percentage of their portfolio in an asset category. Having 5% of the portfolio in Bitcoin, for example, funded from the US equities allocation, would imply a return above 515% and a return for US equities of less than 2%. If the numbers seem too high then the implication is that the allocation to the asset class is also too high. Conversely, if the implied expected returns are too low, it implies that the allocation to the asset class is too low as well.

Implied Return Profile – 60% Growth (5% Bitcoin)								
Asset classes	Implied returns	Asset weights						
US Equities	1.9%	24.7%						
World (ex US) Equities	1.7%	20.6%						
MSCI World Small Cap	1.9%	0.0%						
Emerging Markets Equities	1.6%	0.0%						
Global Bonds	0.7%	9.9%						
US TIPS	0.8%	0.0%						
US High Yield	1.2%	20.1%						
USD Cash	0.7%	0.0%						
Bitcoin	515.3%	5.0%						
Freight	9.2%	4.2%						
Timber and Forestry	1.7%	0.0%						
Frontier Equities	1.8%	0.0%						
Distressed opportunities	1.1%	0.0%						
Wine	0.7%	0.5%						
Catastrophe Bonds	0.8%	10.0%						
Total Implied Return: 27.3%								

Source: First Sentier Investors, Internal Proprietary Models as at 31 January 2022. Past performance is not indicative of future performance.

Key findings

We aimed to evaluate two questions:

- Are alternative investments uncorrelated, low-beta strategies that dampen portfolio volatility and improve risk-adjusted returns?
- 2. Why consider alternatives given how well equities and bonds have been performing?

So far we have seen that there is a wide variation in the correlation and beta measures of the alternatives examined. Some alternative asset categories have high correlations and a high beta to the traditional growth asset of equities, thus providing little diversification and limited appeal for portfolios.

Asset classes with payoff profiles that differ from traditional assets such as Bitcoin, freight, fine wine and catastrophe bonds may be attractive for possible inclusion in an institutional portfolio, due to their ability to improve risk-adjusted performance outcomes through a combination of return engagement and/or diversification benefits.

^{15.} We constrain the maximum asset weight of any single alternative investment to be 10% of the portfolio and the total allocation in alternatives to a maximum of 15%. Please refer to glossary for the definition.

^{16.} Using an assumed Sharpe Ratio of 0.40 and risk-free rate of 0.70%. Please refer to glossary for the definition.

The performance of equities and bonds over long periods has been very attractive¹⁷ – equities and bonds have provided positive real returns, and bonds have diversified equity risk during large drawdowns. Going forward, however, with a lower expected return outlook and real bond yields in many countries being negative, buying sovereign fixed income and holding to maturity may result in negative real returns.

This has led investors to search for institutional-quality assets outside the traditional categories. There can be significant early adopter benefits, whereby the first institutions to find attractive investment opportunities should derive the greatest benefits, either in return or portfolio diversification. By the time alternative investments become mainstream, their return characteristics may be commensurate with traditional assets.

Bitcoin case study

There are now more than 16,000 cryptocurrencies worth more than US\$2 trillion in circulation¹⁸ and that number is rapidly growing. Bitcoin has become synonymous with cryptocurrency more broadly, being the first successful vehicle. Nonetheless, Bitcoin remains in its infancy compared to the traditional asset classes of shares, bonds, property and gold, and further lacks real-world demand and supply. As such, it remains difficult to draw any strong conclusions, but we explore some of the key areas below.

Cryptocurrencies have displayed significant volatility, they are not backed by a sovereign entity, and their value is not tied to any fundamental source, such as a stream of cash flows. Therefore, their appeal to investors may be due to the perceived lottery preference, possibly in combination with the potential for diversification.

Institutional acceptance of Bitcoin as an asset class is likely to be slowed by regulatory concerns, cybersecurity risks, immature investment and custody infrastructure, and substantial volatility. A further wrinkle is the depth of liquidity and ability to transact.

One of the recent advents for investors that desire exposure to Bitcoin has been the Chicago Mercantile Exchange creating Bitcoin futures in December 2017. These are based on the reference rate calculated from major Bitcoin exchanges. Bitcoin futures experience significant contango, which results in lower returns for investors, likely due to investors' desire to avoid managing security, storage and custody of Bitcoin. The futures contracts are currently the only manner for institutional investors to achieve access to the asset class, although liquidity and volume remain a concern.

"Few assets in financial history have been more fragile than Bitcoin."

Nassim Nicholas Taleb, mathematical, former option trader, and author - Bitcoin, Currencies, and Fragility.

Summary and conclusion

The holy grail of asset allocation is to find positively compounding real return assets that are negatively correlated. Historically, the pre-eminent asset classes to provide this outcome were equities and bonds. Going forward, achieving that outcome is likely to be a more difficult task. As the return outlook is uninspiring, we have explored a possible framework for the addition of alternative investments to portfolios.

Given the diversity of alternative investment strategies, it is not possible to unequivocally determine whether they may be suitable for a portfolio without a robust understanding of investors' return requirements, investment horizon, risk tolerance and liquidity needs. Nonetheless, it is clear based on the analysis of alternative assets in this paper that adding alternative assets to a portfolio of traditional assets could provide meaningful benefits in overall risk-return portfolio characteristics. We acknowledge these investments have idiosyncratic risks and operational requirements due to their highly bespoke nature and underlying illiquidity. Implementation considerations in achieving and managing an optimal exposure should therefore not be underestimated.

"The road less traveled will not be smooth."

- Megan McCafferty, author - Second Helpings.

^{17.} See First Sentier Investors. (2022). Why Multi-Asset?

^{18.} CoinMarketCap. "Cryptocurrencies." Accessed Jan. 14, 2022.

^{19.} In October 2021 the SEC approved ProShares to offer the first Bitcoin futures ETF (BITO). No spot ETFs have been approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission at the time of writing.

Appendix

Comparing the benefits and disadvantages of liquid and illiquid asset categories

	Benefits	Disadvantages
Illiquid	 Control over the asset Lower volatility than comparable listed market investments Potential for higher levels of gearing Low correlation with equity markets 	 Substantial minimum investment requirements Concentration risk of a single asset or limited number of assets that can be housed in a typical portfolio Illiquid nature of the investment Difficulty in determining an appropriate benchmark
Liquid	 Higher liquidity than direct funds/direct investment Greater accessibility due to the lower minimum investment amount The ability to easily achieve diversified exposure to different regions, subsectors, etc. 	 The relatively high volatility of listed investments Higher correlation with equity markets

Source: First Sentier Investors

Historical monthly characteristics

108 monthly observations, F	-en 2013 - Jar 1								
Asset classes	# months where r < -5%	# months where r < -2.5%	# months where r > 2.5%	# months where r > 5%	minimum monthly return	5% worst monthly return	median monthly return	5% best monthly return	maximum monthly return
US Equities	7	16	37	13	-12.4%	-6.2%	1.9%	7.0%	12.8%
World (ex US) Equities	7	20	38	12	-14.0%	-5.1%	0.9%	6.6%	15.4%
MSCI World Small Cap	9	17	33	15	-20.7%	-7.2%	1.3%	7.1%	15.4%
Emerging Markets Equities	11	28	34	16	-15.4%	-6.8%	0.7%	8.1%	13.3%
Global Bonds					-1.7%	-1.3%	0.3%	1.6%	2.3%
US TIPS		2	3		-4.4%	-1.7%	0.3%	2.1%	3.1%
US High Yield	1	4	9		-12.3%	-2.2%	0.5%	3.8%	4.7%
USD Cash					0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Bitcoin	37	46	56	52	-37.6%	-28.9%	3.3%	63.1%	451.0%
Freight	40	48	52	47	-59.6%	-36.1%	0.8%	49.3%	97.9%
Timber and Forestry	11	28	38	18	-16.5%	-8.6%	1.2%	10.0%	15.5%
Frontier Equities	9	22	36	13	-21.0%	-6.7%	1.1%	6.4%	14.0%
Distressed opportunities	1	1	3	1	-10.1%	-1.5%	0.6%	2.3%	6.9%
Wine		1	7	1	-3.2%	-1.7%	0.3%	2.7%	5.4%
Catastrophe Bonds	1	1			-6.3%	-0.5%	0.5%	1.3%	1.8%

r = returns

Source: First Sentier Investors, Bloomberg, Datastream. To be consistent across the historical data, we restrict data to the shortest history of the alternative assets, being Bitcoin, which is from 31 January 2013 to 31 January 2022.

Data sources			
Name	Description	Data Source	Identifier
Price Inflation US	US CPI, not seasonally adjusted	Refinitiv Datastream	USCPF
GDP US	GDP US constant prices, seasonally adjusted	Refinitiv Datastream	USGDPD
US Equities	S&P 500 Composite	Refinitiv Datastream	S&PCOMP(RI)
World (ex US) Equities	MSCI World ex US	Refinitiv Datastream	MSWXUS\$(MSRI)
MSCI World Small Cap	MSCI World Small Cap	Refinitiv Datastream	MSSWLD\$(RI)
Emerging Markets Equities	MSCI Emerging Markets	Refinitiv Datastream	MSEMKF\$(MSRI)
Global Bonds	Bloomberg Global Aggregate Index	Bloomberg	LEGATRUH Index
USTIPS	Bloomberg US Treasury Inflation Linked Securities	Refinitiv Datastream	100+LHGRUST(IN)
US High Yield	CS High Yield Index II	Bloomberg	DLJHVAL Index
USD Cash	Barclays 3 month USD LIBOR	Bloomberg	BXIIU3MC Index
Bitcoin	Bitcoin in USD	Bloomberg	XBTUSD BGNL Curncy
Freight	Baltic Exchange Panamax Index	Refinitiv Datastream	BIFFEXI
Timber and Forestry	S&P Global Timber & Forestry	Refinitiv Datastream	SPGTAF\$
Frontier Equities	S&P Frontier Broad Market Index (BMI) ex GCC	Refinitiv Datastream	IFFMFC\$(RI)
Distressed opportunities	Credit Suisse Hedge Distressed Index	Refinitiv Datastream	CSTDISH
Wine	Liv-ex Fine Wine 50 Index	Bloomberg	LXFW50 Index
Catastrophe Bonds	Swiss Re Global Catastrophe Bond Index	Bloomberg	SRGLTRR Index

Source: First Sentier Investors

Glossary

Beta

Beta is a measure of how an asset moves, on average, when the overall market increases or decreases.

Volatility

Volatility refers to the standard deviation of the change in the value of a financial instrument. It is typically used as a metric to quantify risk.

Risk-adjusted returns

Risk-adjusted returns are a ratio that accounts for the level of risk associated with the return. An example of a risk-adjusted return is the Sharpe ratio.

Mean-variance analytical modelling

A mathematical framework for assembling a portfolio of assets such that the expected return is maximised for a given level of risk. The 'mean' refers to returns and 'variance' is used as a proxy for risk.

Open-ended funds

A fund of pooled investor money where units in the fund are created on application and cancelled on redemption. These units are priced based on their net asset value.

Efficient mean-variance portfolio

A portfolio is considered 'efficient' regarding mean-variance when the allocation to each asset maximises the expected return for a given level of risk.

Sharpe ratio

The Sharpe ratio gauges performance by measuring the excess return per unit of risk, characterising how well the portfolio's return compensates its investors for the risk taken. The higher a portfolio's Sharpe ratio, the better its risk-adjusted return. The ratio is calculated as: SR = (Expected portfolio return – Risk-free rate)/ Portfolio standard deviation.

Important information

This material is for general information purposes only. It does not constitute investment or financial advice and does not take into account any specific investment objectives, financial situation or needs. This is not an offer to provide asset management services, is not a recommendation or an offer or solicitation to buy, hold or sell any security or to execute any agreement for portfolio management or investment advisory services and this material has not been prepared in connection with any such offer. Before making any investment decision you should conduct your own due diligence and consider your individual investment needs, objectives and financial situation and read the relevant offering documents for details including the risk factors disclosure. Any person who acts upon, or changes their investment position in reliance on, the information contained in these materials does so entirely at their own risk.

We have taken reasonable care to ensure that this material is accurate, current, and complete and fit for its intended purpose and audience as at the date of publication but the information contained in the material may be subject to change thereafter without notice. No assurance is given or liability accepted regarding the accuracy, validity or completeness of this material.

To the extent this material contains any expression of opinion or forward-looking statements, such opinions and statements are based on assumptions, matters and sources believed to be true and reliable at the time of publication only. This material reflects the views of the individual writers only. Those views may change, may not prove to be valid and may not reflect the views of everyone at First Sentier Investors.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance. All investment involves risks and the value of investments and the income from them may go down as well as up and you may not get back your original investment. Actual outcomes or results may differ materially from those discussed. Readers must not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as there is no certainty that conditions current at the time of publication will continue.

References to specific securities (if any) are included for the purpose of illustration only and should not be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell the same. Any securities referenced may or may not form part of the holdings of First Sentier Investors' portfolios at a certain point in time, and the holdings may change over time.

References to comparative benchmarks or indices (if any) are for illustrative and comparison purposes only, may not be available for direct investment, are unmanaged, assume reinvestment of income, and have limitations when used for comparison or other purposes because they may have volatility, credit, or other material characteristics (such as number and types of securities) that are different from the funds managed by First Sentier Investors.

Selling restrictions

Not all First Sentier Investors products are available in all jurisdictions. This material is neither directed at nor intended to be accessed by persons resident in, or citizens of any country, or types or categories of individual where to allow such access would be unlawful or where it would require any registration, filing, application for any licence or approval or other steps to be taken by First Sentier Investors in order to comply with local laws or regulatory requirements in such country.

This material is intended for 'professional clients' (as defined by the UK Financial Conduct Authority, or under MiFID II), 'wholesale clients' (as defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) or Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 (New Zealand) and 'professional' and 'institutional' investors as may be defined in the jurisdiction in which the material is received, including Hong Kong, Singapore and the United States, and should not be relied upon by or be passed to other persons.

The First Sentier Investors funds referenced in these materials are not registered for sale in the United States and this document is not an offer for sale of funds to US persons (as such term is used in Regulation S promulgated under the 1933 Act). Fund-specific information has been provided to illustrate First Sentier Investors' expertise in the strategy. Differences between fund-specific constraints or fees and those of a similarly managed mandate would affect performance results.

About First Sentier Investors

References to 'we', 'us' or 'our' are references to First Sentier Investors, a global asset management business which is ultimately owned by Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (MUFG). Certain of our investment teams operate under the trading names FSSA Investment Managers, Stewart Investors and Realindex Investments, all of which are part of the First Sentier Investors group.

This material may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part, and in any form or by any means circulated without the prior written consent of First Sentier Investors.

We communicate and conduct business through different legal entities in different locations. This material is communicated in:

Australia and New Zealand by First Sentier Investors (Australia) IM Limited, authorised and regulated in Australia by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (AFSL 289017; ABN 89 114 194311) European Economic Area by First Sentier Investors (Ireland) Limited, authorised and regulated in Ireland by the Central Bank of Ireland (CBI reg no. C182306; reg office 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, Ireland; reg company no. 629188)

Hong Kong by First Sentier Investors (Hong Kong) Limited and has not been reviewed by the Securities & Futures Commission in Hong Kong

Singapore by First Sentier Investors (Singapore) (reg company no. 196900420D) and has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. First Sentier Investors (registration number 53236800B) is a business division of First Sentier Investors (Singapore).

Japan by First Sentier Investors (Japan) Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Service Agency (Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Registered Financial Institutions) No.2611)

United Kingdom by First Sentier Investors (UK) Funds Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (reg. no. 2294743; reg office Finsbury Circus House, 15 Finsbury Circus, London EC2M 7EB)

United States by First Sentier Investors (US) LLC, authorised and regulated by the Securities Exchange Commission (RIA 801-93167).

To the extent permitted by law, MUFG and its subsidiaries are not liable for any loss or damage as a result of reliance on any statement or information contained in this document. Neither MUFG nor any of its subsidiaries guarantee the performance of any investment products referred to in this document or the repayment of capital. Any investments referred to are not deposits or other liabilities of MUFG or its subsidiaries, and are subject to investment risk, including loss of income and capital invested.